| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--|---|
| | 09/883,062 | MEDVED ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Habte Mered | 2616 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application | : |
| (1) <u>Habte Mered</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) Tongjyh Lee (Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafr | <u>nan)</u> . (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 12 January 2007 | Time: 2:30 PM | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description: |] Applicant's representative) No | |
| Part I. | · | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | , |
| Claims discussed: All Independent claims - claims 1, 11, 15, 19, 20, 24 Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet | E GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT | WAS DISCUSSED: |
| Part III. | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief | ation. The examiner will provide a eparate record of the substance o | written summary of the substance f the interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | | |
| • | | • |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | 0: |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (A | Applicant/Applicant's Representati | ve Signature – it appropriate) |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner pointed in all the independent claims the phrase "..all ports..." is cited but it is not clear if the Applicant is referring to physical or logical port. Applicant indicated "all ports" refers to all physical ports. Applicant agreed to fax to Examiner an updated version of the claim listings. Applicant also agreed to correct the lack of antecedent basis introduced by the phrase "the current logical to physical port mappings" in claim 1. Also the Applicant agreed to clearly explain on what specific action the forwarding of packets is based on in claim 1. Examiner indicated based on the updated claim listings a new search will be conducted and an Office Action will be issued in response to the amendment filed on 10/27/2006. The fax containing the updated claim listings was received on 1/16/2007 and after a complete search was done a decission was made to allow all claims on 1/17/2007.